

Dear Judge McMahon:

I represent the direct purchaser class plaintiffs in the above-captioned litigation and write with respect to a related direct purchaser litigation assigned to this Court, *KPH Healthcare Services, Inc. v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.*, No. 1:20-cv-01096.

We learned of this case just today. The KPH Healthcare complaint was filed on February 7, 2020, (see ECF No. 1 at No. 20-1096), and is largely identical to the J M Smith complaint filed in August 2019. Yet, counsel for KPH did not mark its complaint as a related action. See ECF No. 2 at No. 20-1096 (Civil Cover Sheet). It appears that more than a month later counsel for KPH filed a Statement of Relatedness, (see ECF No. 21 at No. 20-1096), but no service of that document was made on J M Smith or its lawyers.

It appears that counsel for KPH then moved for the appointment of Dianne M. Nast as Interim Lead Counsel for the direct purchaser class, (see ECF No. 48 at No. 20-1096), but this was not served on J M Smith or its counsel, either, even though KPH's motion acknowledged that J M Smith had previously filed a motion for the appointment of Garwin Gerstein & Fisher as Interim Lead Counsel for the direct purchaser class in September 2019.

It was fortuitous that a docket search performed today turned up the KPH Healthcare case. We now seek the Court's directive. Should the Court desire counsel for J M Smith to submit a response to KPH's lead counsel appointment motion, or a formal motion for consolidation of all direct purchaser cases, counsel will do so.

GARWIN GERSTEIN & FISHER

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kimberly Hennings

Garwin Gerstein & Fisher LLP 88 Pine Street, 10th Floor New York, NY 10005 khennings@garwingerstein.com

Counsel for J M Smith

cc (via electronic mail): Counsel of Record for Defendants; Counsel of Record for KPH

Healthcare